

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,471	11/03/2003	Jeong-Rok Kim	8733.934.00-US	1848
30827	7590 09/21/2005		EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			CHUNG, DAVID Y	
1900 K STRE WASHINGTO	EET, NW ON, DC 20006		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Wilding	2000		2871	
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AK
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/698,471	KIM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	·
	David Y. Chung	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of this d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ate, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th		• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		• •	
		¢ "	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>application from the International Bure</li> </ul>	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have beer	Application No	ge .
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Praffances (PTO 948)     Notice of Praffances (PTO 948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	)

Application/Control Number: 10/698,471

Art Unit: 2871

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I. The seal pattern forming device shown in figure 4
- II. The seal pattern formed by a seal dispensing method shown in figure 5
- III. The seal pattern formed by a screen printing method shown in figures 6A and 6B

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Art Unit: 2871

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Chung whose telephone number is (571) 272-2288. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

KENNETH PARKER PRIMARY EXAMINER